Dr. Larry K. Hiller Foliar Fertilization Bumps Potato Yields in Northwest

Rate and timing of application, plus host of other considerations, are critical in applying foliars to potatoes.

Summary: Results from these research trials would indicate that foliar fertilization has potential for an important role in potato production. Several new consider-ations, such as cost of fertilizer materials, concern for ground water quality, availability of new formulations of com-pounds, newer surfactants that increase efficiency of foliar absorption, etc., arc factors that give reason to consider this fertilization method. Foliar fertilizer materials can be one more tool, along with soil-applied fertilizers, soil tests, and petiole analyses, to fine-tune fertility programs to maximize both quantity and quality of potatoes produced.

ne of the first questions pertain ing to the use of foliar fertiliza tion is: can fertilizer nutrients be absorbed through leaves and stems? It has been established in various research studies that plant leaves and other above-ground parts are capable of absorbing chemicals and nutrients. Absorption of nutrients by plants is not a function limited to the root system.

In recent years, there has been renewed interest in the use of foliar fertilizers on potatoes and other crops. The renewed interest is largely due to the availability of new fertilizer formulations, which appear to be more suitable when used in this manner.

Applying macro and micro nutrients to the foliage of plants is not a new practice. It has been used on commercial fruit and vegetable crops for more than fifty years. However, there is limited research information on the commercial application and use of foliar nutrients on potatoes. All of the macro and micro plant nutrient elements that contribute to plant growth and development, as well as the functional and metabolic processes that go on in plants, are listed in Table I. A deficiency of any one element will adversely affect and limit the entire metabolic system. On the other hand, toxicity levels and antagonistic relation-ships of nutrient elements can also occur in plants and cause detrimental results.

This article will present results of some of our research, the general aspects of foliar fertilization, and factors affecting uptake as well as use of foliar nutrients.

Setup

Objectives of our field trials have been to:

• evaluate the effect of foliar macro and micro nutrient applications on tuber yield (external and internal quality)

• determine effect on growth and various physiological parameters in potato

Table 1. Macro and micro nutrient elements required for plant growth and metabolic processes in plants, Hiller, Washington State University.		
MACRO	MICRO	
Nitrogen	Boron	
Phosphorus	Copper	
Potassium	Iron	
Calcium	Manganese	
Magnesium	Molybdenum	
Sulfur	Zinc	

• evaluate interactions of plant/soil/ water levels and foliar treatments.

Foliar nutrient applications were evaluated for four years on commercial potato fields in the Pasco, Warden, and Quincy areas of the Columbia Basin. These general evaluation trials were located on grower fields for actual com-mercial conditions under center pivot irrigation systems.

Cultural, fertilization, and manage-ment practices were those normally used by each grower, except for foliar spray applications in our plots. Thus, these treatments were in addition to the grower's planned fertilization program.

Sprays were applied at the rate of 20 gal/A, or at label rate if specifically stat-ed otherwise.

Plots consisted of paired rows 40 feet long, with a guard row on each side.

Treatments were arranged in a ran-domized complete block design with six replications for statistical analyses.

Russet Burbank was the cultivar used in all trials.

Foliars prove worth

Table 2 shows the commercially available foliar compounds that were tested and compared with an untreated control each year. The table also indicates the rate and time of application of the foliar sprays.

Materials were selected to provide either a fairly complete application of most of the nutrient elements, or single elements.

The usual harvest data were obtained in all trials including total yield, and yield of No. 1s and 2s in various size

Table 2. List of foliar fertilizer treatments in grower/cooperator field triels, Hiller, Washington State University.			
Treatment No.	Foliar Compound	Amount/A	Timing
1	Leaf Life B Leaf Life Mg Leaf Life Super	1 qt 1 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks TI, TI + 2 weeks
	Zn 10	1 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
2	NutraPhos Sorba Spray CaB Sorba Spray Mg	5 lbs 1 qt 1 qt	TI TI TI
	NutraPhos Sorba Spray CaB Sorba Spray Mg	5 lbs 1 qt 1 qt	TI + 2 weeks TI + 2 weeks TI + 2 weeks
3	S & A High Yield S & A High Phos	10 lbs 10 lbs	TI, TI + 2 weeks TI + 4 weeks
4	Leaf Life Mg	2 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
5	Leaf Life B	2 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
6	Thio-Sul	1 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
7	FMC Zn	3 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
8	Sorba Spray Ca	2 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
9	Sorba Spray CaB	2 qt	TI, TI + 2 weeks
10	Control (water)		TI, Ti + 2 wks, TI + 4 wks
	TI = Tuber Initiation		

Table 2. Average yield increase from foliar applications on potatoes in four- year study (1986 through 1989) in grower/cooperator fields, Hiller, Washington State University.			
Year	Yield Increase Over Check		
	Total cwt./A	No.1s cwt./A	
1986 1987 1988 1989	48.0 9.0 13.7 54.0	42.0 24.6 16.2 48.0	
4 year aveage	31.2	32.7	

categories, specific gravity, and external/internal quality.

As shown in Table 3, foliar applica-tions produced "total" yield increases over check that averaged 31.2 cwt./A over four years, and yield increases in "No. 1s" that averaged 32.7 cwt./A over four years. As can be seen, the years 1986 and 1989 produced the best increases during the four years of trials.

Results from our trials are encourag-ing and indicate the potential foliar fertilization has in the role of potato pro-duction. Lending further impetus to the new methodology are concerns for ground water quality, cost of fertilizer materials, new formulations available, and new surfactants that promise increased efficiency of foliar absorption.

Use care

There are a number of factors to con-sider in the application and use of foliar nutrients:

Application rate. Too much of certain nutrient elements can cause leaf burn, be wasted, or be toxic.

Time of day. For optimum absorption by plants, it is generally recommended that application take place early in the morning or late in the evening. It is further recommended that application not be made during midday, except during cool and cloudy weather.

Growth stage. It is generally agreed that these compounds should be applied early in the growing season. However, there is now information to show possible benefits of later applications during the tuber-bulking or tuber-maturing stages.

Droplet size. Droplet should be as fine as possible and achieve uniform wetting of leaf surfaces during application.

Weather. Apply foliar sprays only when there is adequate soil moisture. Do not apply to plants in a moisture-stressed condition.

Balance. Apply only what the plant needs. If there is not a low level on any one nutrient, a balanced formulation would be preferred.

Solubility of nutrient elements in solution is important to increase absorption by the foliage and prevent injury. Solution pH has an effect on solubility of nutrient elements, but if too low or too high, may be toxic to the foliage.

Not a substitute

The major benefits generally given for the use of foliar nutrient application

on potatoes are increased yields (preventing yield losses) and improved quality. Foliar-applied nutrients taken up by plants and increased concentrations can indeed be a benefit to plants. Foliar nutrition is ideally designed to provide many elements to a crop that may be limiting production at a time when nutri-ent uptake from the soil is inefficient or nonexistent. While there is ample evidence to indicate that the mechanisms of foliar absorption and translocation largely resemble those of root absorption, foliar fertilization is not a substitute for soil-applied fertilizers.

Dr. Hiller is professor in the Depart-ment of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture at Washington State University.